

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,655		12/30/2003	Byong Kee Kim	1315-051	1581
22429	7590	03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
LOWE HA	UPTMA	N GILMAN AND	MAI, NGOCLAN THI		
1700 DIAG SUITE 300		OAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAND		22314		1742	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/747,655	KIM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ngoclan T. Mai	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)  🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 D</u>	ecember 2005.					
• —	·	action is non-final.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 9-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-17 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 9-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct.	epted or b) objected to by the Identified or b) objected to by the Identified or by the Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer, Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/747,655 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Newly submitted claims 15-17 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

- I. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-14, drawn to method of making, classified in class 75, subclass 351.
- II. Claims 15-17, drawn to TaC-transition metal based complex powder, classified in class75, subclass 255.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of claims 15-17 can be made by high energy ball milling a mixture of precursor powders and carbon source, following by annealing the milled powder to form cemented carbide powder.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claims 15-17 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is amended to recite "nana-sized carbon particle". It is not clear what is the size of a "nana-sized" powder.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,655 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments, see page 6-7 and last paragraph of page 8, filed 9/7/05, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (2002/0043130A) in view of Hardy et al (U.S. 3,488,291) and Shaw et al. (U.S. 6,214,309) by have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of pending claims 1-4, 6-7 has been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with regarding to claims 1-8 (now claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-14) stand provisionally rejected under the judicially create doctrine of obvious-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending application Serial No. 10/747,657 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument that titanium, unlike tantalum, is not a refractory metal and thus there is no reason to view titanium and tantalum as interchangeable in any process because the prior art is replete with examples that titanium is a refractory metal, see U.S. 3,723,601, claim 1 and U.S. 4,124,665, col. 10, l. 62 to col. 11, l. 2. Furthermore it is also well known in the art and as evident by the teaching of Hardy that the process of producing cemented metal carbide can be applied to any metals listed in col. 2, l. 41-51, which includes titanium can tantalum.
- 5. The provisionally rejection of now claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-14 under judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/747,657 still stand.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Application/Control Number: 10/747,655 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngoclan T. Mai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

n.m.